App. F. IX-4

1934.
(R.D.)

File No. 15-34
Serial Nos. 1-4

Previous References

Police 297-02 (1-5)
" 73-95 (1-7)
" 72-95 (1-N)

Letter References.

Pol. 234-40 (1-4)

PRESERVED

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Charging the cost of the Police Staff employed on Bangalore - Harihar and Bangalore - Hindupur Railway lines.

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

Sd/- [illegible]

5955/33
p 833

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

FILE No. 193

Cost of the Police maintained for the protection of the Bangalore Cantonment.

In which the cost is being debited to the revenues of the A. Trust of Bangalore, the men deputed for this duty being regarded as part of the Police force of the Cantonment.

We must send in a protest against this arrangement and ask that the charge on the revenues of the Trust, be on the Govt. of India.

It is not proper to saddle the Bang. Cantonment with an expenditure incurred for a totally different purpose & for a totally different area.

Indeed, we should consider any retrospective effect which may be given to the expenditure incurred in this account also. The year credited to the Cantonment, as was done in the case of the Surplus revenues in point may also be examined.

7-5-34

9 MAY 1934

2) RJ
7/5/34

U.O 212
9.5.34.

7/5/34

9/5/34

3) No information regarding the allocation of Police charges is availa[illegible] in the Railway Secretariat.

4) Accounts and the Budget Estimat of the Civil and Military station are perhaps received in the Financial Branc

5) The file may be transferred to that Branch.

Secd.
Sy
4.6.34.

Secy)

17.5.34

U.O. 212
22.5.34.

Financial Secy
with Compliments

21/5

FILE No. 193 .

Financial Secy

6 Kindly refer the budget of the Commissariat which we entered last year for the Police (for the Bullock Hackery & other services) as (also pencil) debited to the Assigned Land.

This does not seem a fair arrangement - We must protest against it. I say that this is a charge ~~that~~ that should be legitimately borne by the ~~Central~~ Govt of India who exercise Jurisdiction over the Ry Line. Let us also ask that retrospective effect shall be given to this proposal, as in the case of the surplus revenues of the A. Land.

7/ I am surprised that there was no reduction before.)

JM
14-6-84

8 If the Govt overrule us, we shall ask that the matter be referred to arbitration. Let us, of course, forget to think of any say to our request for payment of the cost of the Police from Mauritius for the Line).

Serial No.

9 There is no doubt that the cost of Railway police employed in the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur lines has no manner of connection with and is not therefore chargeable to the Revenues of the C & M. Station, and its inclusion in the Budget and Accounts of the C. & M. station is therefore not in order. It is quite an accident that there is such an assigned tract in Mysore and the correct procedure should have been to deal with such expenditure in the same way as the Government of India would have done if there were no C.& M. station in Bangalore the jurisdiction of which had been ceded to the Government of India.

10 According to orders issued by the Government of India applicable to all Railways the cost of Railway Police is divided between Government whose duty it is to keep law and order and the particular Railway which gets the benefit and whose property is safeguarded.

11 When the Railway land is in the jurisdiction of ~~the~~ a Native State there is no doubt that the Government share of such Railway Police expenditure is borne by the Native State. But when such land is handed over to the jurisdiction of the Government of India an important point arises for consideration as to which Government shoud bear it viz., whether the Government of India which has the jurisdiction over the land should bear the cost or the Native State. This is a question not peculiar to Mysore but concerns ~~to~~ all States in which Railway

WD 2165—GPB—500—27-5-32

Serial No.

Railway Police jurisdiction is handed over to the Government of India, e.g., Hyderabad, Baroda, etc. In our case, if the cost is, rightly and according to practice elsewhere, to be borne by us, it is immaterial whether the charges are included in the C. & M. Station Accounts the surplus of which is paid to us every year in accordance with the Datum Line agreement, or are recovered directly from us by rendering separate accounts of such expenditure. In fact, the former arrangement is to our advantage since the entire expenditure will be covered by the Datum Line of Rs 13 lakhs, while in other cases we have to pay the cost separately in addition to the Rs 13 lakhs fixed as expenditure of the C. & M. Station to be deducted from the surplus.

12. We would be gainers only if according to rules and practice elsewhere this expenditure is to be borne by the Government of India and is not one to be ultimately passed on to the Native State.

13 Before making any reference to the Residency, it is necessary that we should make sure about the rule and practice regarding this point. It will cause us loss and no gain if we should raise the point and find that the amount becomes payable by us direct according to the practice prevailing in other states. I have tried to get information on this point but have not succeeded completely.

14. Para 2 of the Residency communication dated 26th February 1896 (vide serial No. 37 on

P

WD 2165—GPB—500—27-5-32

Serial No.

on page 33 of the printed collection regarding jurisdiction over Railway lands in Mysore) states that the Mysore Durbar will defray the three-tenths of the police charges, including contingencies on the lines in question, seven-tenths being borne by the Railways. The 7/10ths payable by the Railways was, according to a subsequent arrangement sanctioned by the Government of India with regard to all Railways, changed into a lumpsum contribution.

15 In reply to the above Residency Communication, the then Dewan of Mysore, in para 2 of his letter dated 13th March 1896 (vide sl. No.39 on page 38 of the printed collection) had stated as follows:-

+ "It seems debitable not to the Durbar but to the British Government for whenever plenary jurisdiction within the state is ceded to the British Government the funds required for the exercise of such jurisdiction is never provided by the ceding State etc."

I do not see in the printed collection any reply to this reference. The Protection and Railway Branches of the Secretariat have stated that they have no papers.

Flag A

16 Para 75 of the Manual of special orders of the Government of India (some old book shown to me by the Assistant Secretary, Railways,) lays down that in the case of open railways in Native States, three-tenths of the police charges should be defrayed by the States through which the Railway runs, in proportion to the length of the line in each state and in this order reference

WD 2165—GPB—500—27-5-32

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 27 JUNE 1934 No. 8182

reference is made to Government of India Foreign Department Notification No. 2867 I dated 2nd September 1895 and Mysore Government Order No. 260/Rys. dated 4th March 1899. These are not found in the printed collection and the original collection is stated to be under search in the old Records. Whether this rule applies also to lands whose jurisdiction has been ceded to the Government of India, is not clear. The Asst Legal Asst Ry. says that there is some other order which

17 In the absence of the required literature, it was not possible to put up notes on the Dewan's Minute earlier. Even now, I am not in possession of sufficient literature in the matter.

18 Draft letter to the Residency is however submitted for consideration. I think we must gather more materials to substantiate our case before addressing the Residency.

~~There is another extract which shows that the practice in Hyderabad in our favour.~~ Before issuing this draft put up, it is desirable to write to Baroda and Hyderabad and ascertain the practice there.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 28 JUNE 1934 No. 8243

19 A draft to Sir A. Hydari and Sir. V.T. Krishnamachari is also put up.

Dewan
thro' Chief Secretary.)

20 (The suggestions proposed in the drafts, Minute, seriatim

21

22

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 2165—GPB—500—27-5-32

Serial No.

24 To Lands for his th' approval before issue.

29-6-34

DEWAN'S OFFICE
30 JUNE 1934
No.....

Office please
put up after
Copy of the map.
for my perusal,

25 Put up with
Copy
RR
30/6/34

26 D.S.W. (Lands)
30/6.

27 - 30/6

WD 0:955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith for the gracious approval of His Highness a draft letter to the Residency in the matter of charging the cost of the Police staff employed on Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway lines - the jurisdiction over which is exercised by the Government of India - to the Central Revenues of the Government of India, instead of the Revenues of the Assigned Tract, Bangalore. The draft letter explains the position. The net expenditure on account of the staff is about Rs.40,000/- per annum

Mirza M. Ismail

Bangalore,
30th June 1934.

DEWAN.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Draft letter to the Residency in t
matter of charging the cost of the Poli
staff employed on Bangalore-Harihar and
Bangalore-Hindupur Railway lines.

C. Secy
9-7-34

11.

Order.

~~KRW~~
2/7/34

R.
10/7/34

HH: 20

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Subject. -

Draft letter to the Residency in the matter of charging the cost of the Police staff employed on Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway lines.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Se retaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all otl.er cases, the files will first be circulated to the Memb.rs of Council at Head-quarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

29 Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*) (*Vide Rules* 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Rawd

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. by 10/7/34

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

~~Fair copies with draft~~ Spr 10/7/

Fair copies put up)

The draft is proposed to issue over Chief Secy's Signature. So fair copies signed by Chief Secy. are also put up -

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

CJR 11/7/34)

Submitted to Fin Secy

CJR 11/7/34)

12/7/34

General and Revenue Secretariat G.7 - File No. 15 of 1934

Serial No.	
1	No. Pol 36 dated 12-7-34 to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident, requesting to move the Government of India to discontinue the practice of charging the cost of the Police staff employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway, to the Bangalore Assigned Tract Revenues.
	30 We may perhaps wait for a month more.
	H Secy) 1/10/34.
	1.10.34.
	31 Submitted for orders as to whether a reminder may be sent to the Residency. We may wait for another month.
	H Secy) 3/11/34.
	32 Whether we may send a reminder now or wait for a month more is for Consideration
	Dewan) 4/11
	33 Let us wait. As a matter of fact I had a talk with the Resident on the subject and he agrees that we should wait for a month.
	5/11/34.
	5-11-34
	7.11.31

DEWAN'S OFFICE
5 NOV. 1934
No. 2422

Yrs for two months
19-7-34

Yrs for one month
2-10-34

Yrs for two months
2-11-34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPE—22,500—12-6-34

4564
/x

File No. 15 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat 13

34. Reference para 33.

35. orders are solicited as to whether a reminder may be sent to the Residency.

No. 396

2 16-1-35 W

36) H. Secy)
Dewan)

37) Let us be mum then.

18-1-35

22/1/35.

38) Submit with a draft Memo to the Residency.

28/1

39) For approval

H Secy) 23/1/35.

40)

41) Issue

24-1-35.

No Pol 794 dy 26.1.35, to the Residency,

reply no 3 222-35 R.O II dated 23rd February 35 from the Secretary to the Resident stating that question is under consideration and that a further communication will be sent to Government as early as possible.

42) Seen by the Dewan on 25-2-35

4864 3834/7

Incidence of the cost of the police employed on Railways in the Mysore State worked by the Madras and Southern Maratta Railway.

OFFICE
8 JUNE 1935
No. 12378.

3 Reference is invited to the Residency letter dated 23-2-35. Orders are solicited as to whether we may remind the Residency again.

M W
1-6-35

Fe Secy) 4/6/35

Dewan)

44 Pr 9/6.

7-6-35.

45 No. It is worth for some time longer. C. Secy may discuss the matter with the Secy to Resident when he meets him. No official remarks necessary now.

Ch (Ag)) 5-6-35.

46 Please put up this ch Bangalore

10

CHIEF SECRETARY.
21 JUNE 1935

2 Letter No.F 222-35 R O II dated 5th July 1935 from the Secretary to the Resident, regarding the incidence of the cost of Police employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway lines.

47. In this office letter No.Pol 36 dated 12th July 1934, the Secretary to the Resident was informed that the Police staff employed for the above Railway lines could not be regarded as part of the police force of the Civil and Military station, though for administrative convenience, the supervision over the work of this staff is entrusted to the authorities of the Civil and Military station and that it was not right that the Durbar should not only be deprived of the jurisdiction over these lines but also asked to bear the cost involved. The Resident was therefore requested to arrange with the Government of India to charge the cost of the Railway police referred to above to the Central Revenues and not to the Revenues of the Assigned Tract.

48. The Secretary to the Resident now refers to Sir Sheshadri Iyer's letter No.36 D dated 19th December 1896 suggesting the above arrangement which is not found in the printed collection. Two files containing this and subsequent correspondence which were found in the old Records are herewith submitted for kind perusal. It is not known why these important communications have not been brought in the printed collection.

49. The latest communication from the Residency accepting the above arrangement is dated 25th February 1903, and para 3 of that communication reads as

follows:--

"The maintenance of law and order is the duty of the State concerned whether a railway runs through its territories or not and the cession of jurisdiction is an arrangement made to facilitate administration, but does not affect the question of the obligation of the State to provide the exppnses of keeping order within its territories. The willingness of Native States to meet these charges was anticipated in the belief that they considered the advantage to their territories by the existence of a Railway would far outweigh the extra burden of keeping order in the land through which it ran. This view is apparently not accepted by the Darbar, who are however prepared to treat the lines as forming part of the Assigned Tract of the Civil and Military station. This is really not the case, but the Government of India have no objection to accede to their wishes so far as to enter these payments as a charge against the Revenues of the Assigned Tract".

5. From this, it is clear that the Government of India agree that the police charges in question are not to be borne by the Assigned Tract Revenues but by the Mysore Government, and have agreed to treat them as charges against the Assigned Tract Revenues, only at our instance.

5\. The next point for consideration is whether the charges in question are really to be borne by the Mysore Government. In para 2 of the Residency letter, reference is made to the Government of India decision in the year 1906. This decision is favourable to us but appears to have made it clear that past cases were not to be re-opened. The papers of 1906 are not available . If the Police and Railway branches of

644/35 Finance
Cost of Police Bangalore
Market Rents

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 193 | [App. F. III-2

the Secretaraiat are also unable to make available the connected papers, we will have to address the Secretary to the Resident to send a copy of the Government of India circular of 1906 and also to give reference to the Residency communication with which the same was communicated to us.

29-7-35

H Seey) 29.7.35

52 Chief Secretary (Police Secn) / int Calls for favour of remarks.

29.7.35

30/7/35.

53 The Police collection of 1906 is said to have been destroyed in the Records! It is not likely that any reference to the requisite papers would be found in the Rly Secn. We have to address the Residency in the matter as suggested by the Asstt Secy, Finance.

54 The file will be ~~sent~~ returned to the Fin Secn if approved, who may look into the relevant file

30/7/35 | Register and Gd Secn Police
31.7.35 | from Register if the same can be traced

A.S. Finance) 31/7/35

55 For orders whether action as at A above may be taken.

H Seey) 5/8/35.

FINANCIAL BRANCH
- 3 AUG. 1935
REVENUE & REV. DEPTT.

Could not gather any information with reference to these documents
2-8-35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

100/71.

18

FILE NO. of 193.

General and Revenue Secretariat

b To Rly Secretary with Explicit in favour of remarks & suggestions, if any

5-8-35

5/8/35

RAILWAY SECRETARIAT 6. AUG. 1935 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE. U.O. 38

DEVAN'S OFFICE 1091

57. The Govt. of India Circular referred to is not available in the Railway Secretariat Records.

(8) Subd. 58. As suggested in the Finl. Secy's note dated 29.7.35 on the previous page, the Secy. to the Hon'ble Resident may be requested to send a copy of the G.O. & Circular of 1906, quoting paper, & the Resdy. Comm. with which it was forwarded by the Mysore Govt.

9/8 9.8.35 5/8

U.O. 38 5/8/35

59. Finl. Secy) 10/8.

60. Reference para 51.

61. Draft D.O to the Secretary to the Resident is submitted for approval

10-8-35

(62) Fl Secy) 10/8/35

(63) Dewan Hon'ble Chief Secretary) 10/8/35

FINANCIAL BRANCH 10 AUG. 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT.

GENERAL SECTION 15 AUG. 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT.

10/8/35.

(64) 13.8.35

12.8

13/8/35.

AC for Issue

15/8/35.

Serial No.

3 D O letter No.Pol 216 dated 16th August 1935 to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident calling for a copy of the Government of India decision and a reference to the letter communicating it to the Government of Mysore.

4 D O letter No.F 222-35 R O II dated 29th August 1935 from the Secretary to the Resident

---

A copy of the Government of India decision of 1906 referred to in para 3 of the Residency letter dated 5th July 1935 has been received. But the reference to the communication of the Residency to the Mysore Government forwarding a copy of the above decision has not been given, though this was also requested. Apparently, the Residency had not communicated this decision to us previously.

From the Residency communications of 5th July 1935 and 29th August 1935, it is clear that the Government of India agree with us that the cost of police staff referred to in this file is not a legitimate charge to the Assigned Tract Revenues and that the present procedure was adopted in accordance with our wishes communictaed in December 1896, and that otherwise these charges should have been paid by the Mysore Government direct. The suggestion to include these charges in the Proforma Account of the Assigned Tract was made by us at a time when the Government of India did not finally agree to place at our disposal the surplus of the C & M Stattion (Assigned Tract Bangalore). Payment of surplus was made only in the year 1913.

If the Government of India decision of 1906 had been known to us in 1906 itself, we might have protested against the inclusion of the Railway Police charges in the Proforma

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

G.7 File No. 15 100 J 96 V of 1934. General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE 12 SEPT. 1935 No. 1810

Accounts of the Assigned Tract. The Government of India decision of 1906 lays down that "Past cases will not be re-opened, but for the future, on lines in Native states, the jurisdiction over which may be ceded to the British Government, no demand will be made from the Darbars for any portion of the cost of the Railway police and that the proportion which has hitherto been paid by Darbars will in consequence henceforth be borne by Government".

9 The decision that "Past cases will not be reopened" is not fair. At least from 1906, these charges should have been borne by the Government of India.

10 Orders are solicited as to whether we ~~can submit~~ may urge the case again for reconsideration, merely on grounds of equity. But as already stated in Mr. S. Shamanna's note dated 22nd June 1934, the inclusion of these charges in the Proforma Account does not affect the surplus payable to us every year, as they will be included in the Datum line figure of Rs 13 lakhs. But ~~bbbbbbbbbb~~ this inclusion has the effect of reducing the ~~bannnn~~ cash Resources of the Assigned Tract to the extent of about Rs 40,000 per annum. We should at least make sure that when the Retrocession takes place these charges are not required to be borne by us.

ASR
12.9.35

Dewan)

12/9/35.

We need not raise the question in view of the likelihood of the area being retroceded in the short time from now.

MD
12-9-35

ASR 13.9.35 13/9/35.

Serial No.

The file may perhaps be recorded for the present.

HW
13-9-35

Sd Seey) B 14/9/35

Sd/ 16/9/35

B 16/9/35

B HW 23/9

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Draft.

My dear ~~Sir~~

I shall be obliged if you will please let me know whether the Government of India or your State bears the Government portion of Railway Police charges on through Railway line in your territory the Police jurisdiction over which is handed over to the Government of India.

Yours .....

To Sir A. Hydari
& Sir V. T. Krishnamachari

22/6.

RR
26/6.

Extract of para 75 from Manual of Special Orders of the Government of India.

==========

75. Proportion of Police charges debitable to Native States.

In the case of open railways in Native States, sev tenths of the police charges (including under that term the charges for watch and ward as ordinarily defined or Indian Railways as well as those for law and order) on lines should be debited to the Railway Administration working expenses, and the remaining three-tenths should defrayed by the States through which the Railway runs proportion to the length of the line in each State.

==========

/Extract/

(G. of I Foreign Dept. No. 2867 I dy 2nd Septr: 1898; M. G.O. no: 260 F dy 4th March 1899)

Revised draft.

To

The Secretary to the Honoble the
Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that at present the cost of police staff employed for ~~the~~ the Bangalore Harihar and Bangalore Hindupur Railway lines the jurisdiction over ~~the land through~~ which ~~they pass has been ceded~~ is traversed by to the Government of India--is ~~being~~ included in the Budget and Accounts of the Civil and Military station, Bangalore and charged to the Revenues of that area. The police staff employed for these Railway lines cannot be regarded as part of the police force of the Civil and Military station, though for the purpose of administrative convenience, the supervision ~~etc~~ of the work of this staff is entrusted to the authorities of the Civil and Military station. The existence of an area like the Civil and Military station with jurisdiction handed over to the Government of India is an accident and it does not therefore appear to be correct to charge to its accounts, the cost of Railway police maintained for an entirely different purpose ~~which has~~ no manner of connection with it.

It does not seem right that the Durbar should ~~also feel~~ that ~~it is not~~ fair ~~to deprive the Mysore State of their~~ jurisdiction over these Lines but also ~~lands and at the same time~~ to bear no cost ~~make it pay for its administration~~.

I am therefore to request you to be so good as to kindly arrange with the Government of India for charging the cost of Railway police referred to above to the Central Revenues ~~Government of~~

~~India instead of~~ to the Bangalore Civil and Military station revenues, ~~and to give effect to this procedure with retrospective effect~~.

I have etc.,

28-6-34.

Chief Secretary.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

I am directed to state that at present the cost of the police staff employed on Bangalore Harihar and Bangalore Hindupur railway lines - the jurisdiction over which is exercised by the Government of India - is included in the Budget and Accounts of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and charged to the Revenues of that area. The police staff employed for these Railway lines cannot be regarded as part of the police force of the Civil and Military Station, though for the purpose of administrative convenience, the supervision of the work of this staff is entrusted to the authorities of the Civil and Military Station. The existence of an area like the Civil and Military Station with jurisdiction handed over to the Government of India is an accident and it does not therefore appear to be correct to charge to its accounts, the cost of the Railway police maintained for an entirely different purpose and having no manner of connection with it.

It seems hardly right to the Durbar that they should not only be deprived of the jurisdiction over these Lines but also asked to bear the cost

involved.

I am, therefore, to request you to be so good as to kindly arrange with the Government of India for charging the cost of Railway police referred to above to the Central Revenues and not to the Bangalore Civil and Military Station revenues.

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary.

Cr. 7. 15-34-1

Pol. 36 & 12 7/34.

To

The Secretary

to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Sir

I am directed to state that at present the cost of the police staff employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur railway lines - the jurisdiction over which is exercised by the Government of India - is included in the Budget and Accounts of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and charged to the Revenues of that area. The police staff employed for these Railway lines cannot be regarded as part of the police force of the Civil and Military Station, though for ~~the purpose of~~ administrative convenience, the supervision ~~of~~ over the work of this staff is entrusted to the authorities of the Civil and Military Station. The existence of an area like the Civil and Military Station with jurisdiction ~~handed over to~~ vesting in the Government of India is ~~an~~ a unique accident and it does not ~~therefore~~ appear to be correct or equitable to charge to its accounts the cost of the Railway police maintained for an entirely different purpose and having no manner of connection with it.

It seems hardly right to the Durbar that they should not only be deprived of the jurisdiction over these lines but also asked to bear the cost involved.

I am, ~~therefore, to request you to be so~~ good as to ~~kindly~~ arrange with the Government of India ~~for~~ to charg~~ing~~e the cost of Railway police referred to above to the Central Revenues and not to the ~~Bangalore Civil and Military Station~~ revenues of the Assigned Tract.

I have, etc.,

Chief Secretary to Government.

I shall move the Govt. of Mysore would be grateful if the Hon'ble the Resident if he would be good enough to arrange with the Govt of India accordingly

3/V

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 12/7/34

No. Pol 36 | Ca-7-15-34-1

From

R. Ranga Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that at present the cost of the police staff employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur railway lines - the jurisdiction over which is exercised by the Government of India - is included in the Budget and Accounts of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and charged to the Revenues of that area. The police staff employed for these Railway lines cannot be regarded as part of the police force of the Civil and Military Station, though for administrative convenience, the supervision over the work of this staff is entrusted to the authorities of the Civil and Military Station. The existence of an area like the Civil and Military Station with jurisdiction vesting in the Government of India is an accident and it does not appear to be correct or equitable to charge to its accounts the cost of the Railway police maintained for an entirely different purpose and having no manner of connection with it.

It seems hardly right to the Durbar that they should not only be deprived of the jurisdiction over these lines but also asked to bear the cost involved.

I am to say that the Government of Mysore would be grateful to the Hon'ble the Resident if he would be so good as

as to arrange with the Government of India to charge the cost of the Railway police referred to above to the Central Revenues and not to the revenues of the Assigned Tract.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Ranger

Chief Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 26 - 1 - 35

Signed by .... for S. S.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. Pol. 794

To The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Re
in Mysore.

Sir

I am directed to invite kind reference t
this office letter No Pol 36/97 15-34-1 & 12-7-34
in the matter of charging the Cost of the
Police Staff employed on Bangalore-Har
and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway di
to the Central Revenue of the Govt of
India instead of to the Revenues of the
Assigned tract and to request you to be
good as to intimate the present stage of the
~~Of an early useful Hindi~~ question.

I have etc

W Chief Secretary to

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

Pol. 124

No. F.222-35
R.O. II

FROM

Major C. G. N. Edwards, I.A.,

SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

25 FEB 1935 3834 FINANCIAL & REV. SECT.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 25 FEB. 1935 No.

Dated Bangalore, 23rd Feby. *1935.*

25/2/35

Subject.

Incidence of the cost of the police employed on railways in the Mysore State worked by the Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Pol.794/Fl., dated the 26th January 1935, I am directed to say that the question is under consideration and that a further communication will be sent to the Government of Mysore as early as possible.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

Sus for 1 month
7-2-35

25-2-35.

Sus for one more month
1-4-35

26/2/35.

BJ
22/2

G.T. 15-34-2 Pol 79

mmunications should
ssed to the Secretary
Resident in Mysore,
re, by designation
and not by name.

No. F.222-35
R.O.II

FINANCIAL BRANCH
6 JULY 1935
GEN. & MISC. SECTT.

FROM

Major C. G. N. Edwards, I.A.,

5 JULY 1935
No. G.2

SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

The Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

For kind perusal in the first instance

Dated Bangalore, 5th July *1935.*

Subject.

INCIDENCE OF THE COST OF POLICE EMPLOYED ON THE BANGALORE-HARIHAR AND BANGALORE-HINDUPUR RAILWAY LINES.

Dewan)

SIR,

5-7-35

I am directed to refer to your letter No. Pol.36-Fl., dated the 12th July 1934, in which it was urged that the cost of police employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway Lines is not an appropriate charge on the revenues of the Assigned Tract and that the Government of India should accept the liability as an Imperial charge.

617/35.

2. In reply, I am to invite a reference to Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's letter No.36-D, dated the 19th December 1896, from which it will be seen that it was the Government of Mysore themselves who first made the suggestion that the charges on account of the railway police in question should be debited to the Assigned Tract. Under the policy of the Government of India at that time, the payment of such charges was an obligation which devolved on the Government of Mysore, who were however unwilling to meet the charge and therefore suggested that it should be debited to the revenues of the Assigned Tract. The Government of India only acceded to the wish of the Mysore Government that these lines should be treated as forming part of the Assigned Tract of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, 'so far as to enter these payments in the prescribed pro-forma account as a charge against the revenues of the Assigned Tract'.

Again

Again in 1906, when the Government of India decided that States should no longer be called upon to pay any portion of the cost of railway police on lines over which jurisdiction might in future be ceded to the British Government, they made it perfectly clear that past cases were not to be re-opened. This meant that the decision did not affect the arrangement with the Mysore Government by which the State's share of the cost of railway police on lines in Mysore over which jurisdiction had been ceded was charged to the revenues of the Assigned Tract.

4. Had the Government of Mysore accepted the principle laid down in 1896, they themselves would now be liable for the payment, instead of the Assigned Tract revenues; for as already stated, the revised policy of 1906 did not have retrospective effect. It was at their suggestion that the Government of India agreed in 1898 to regard these railways as part of the Assigned Tract for purposes of meeting the cost of the railway police. The arrangement was thus of the nature of a compromise; and I am to say that the Government of India regret that they see no reason to revise it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

D.O. Pol. 216 dt 16/8/35

F. 7-15-34-3

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your letter No.F 222-35/R.O II dated 5th July 1935 regarding the incidence of the cost of police employed on the Bangalore-Harihar and Bangalore-Hindupur Railway lines. Reference is made in para 3 of the above letter to the decision of the Government of India in the year 1906. As a copy of the said circular is not readily traceable in this office, I shall feel obliged if you can kindly arrange to send me a copy ~~of the same~~ and also to note the number and date of the Residency communication with which it was communicated to this office.

Yours sincerely,

16/8

10.8.35

To

Major C.G.N. Edwards, I.A.,
Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Bn
16/8

Confidential.

G.7 15-34-4

F.222-35
D.O.No. R.O.II

THE RESIDENCY
BANGALORE.

29th August 1935.

INCIDENCE OF THE COST OF POLICE EMPLOYED ON THE BANGALORE-HARIHAR AND BANGALORE-HINDUPUR RAILWAY LINES.

FINANCIAL BRANCH
30 AUG. 1935
962
GENL. & REV. DEPTL.

Dear Mr. Raghavachar -

With reference to your demi-official letter No.Pol. 1., dated the 16th August 1935, I am desired to forward a copy of letter from the Government of India in the Foreign Department No.4240 I.B., dated the 11th October 1906, in which they conveyed their decision regarding the incidence of the cost of railway police. The principles enunciated by the Government of India in their letter of the 6th February 1896 and referred to in the above letter were communicated to the Government of Mysore in letter from this Residency to the Dewan No. 674, dated the 26th February 1896.

Yours sincerely

A.N.Raghavachar, Esq., M.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R.T

Letter from the Government of India in the Foreign Department No.4240-I.B., dated the 11th October 1906.

---:O:---

I am directed to invite a reference to the communication cited in the margin, which enunciated the principles on which Native States are asked to bear a proportion of the cost of police on railways passing through their territories.

Letter from the Government of India in the Foreign Department No.512-I., dated the 6th February 1896.

2. An examination of the manner in which efforts have been made to give effect to these principles shows that insistence upon them is in many cases difficult. The Government of India have, therefore, decided no longer to press the policy formerly laid down. Past cases will not be re-opened, but for the future, on lines in Native States, the jurisdiction over which may be ceded to the British Government, no demand will be made from the Darbars for any portion of the cost of t police. The proportion which has hitherto been paid by Darbars will in consequence henceforth be borne by Government.

---:O:---

"C O P Y"

SUPERINTENDENT, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

BJ

DEWAN'S OFFICE
30 AUG. 1935
1429